Lecture 19: `fsck`, Journaling

601.418/618 Operating Systems

David Hovemeyer

April 21, 2025

Agenda

- Write buffering, filesystem consistency
- `fsck`, crash recovery
- Journaling

Acknowledgments: These slides are shamelessly adapted from Prof. Ryan Huang's Fall 2022 slides, which in turn are based on Prof. David Mazières's OS lecture notes.

Review: File I/O Path (Reads)

File system uses buffer cache to speed up I/O

`read()` from file

- Check if block is in cache
- If so, return block to user [1 in figure]
- If not, read from disk, insert into cache, return to user [2]



Review: File I/O Path (Writes)

`write()` to file

- Write is buffered in memory ("write behind") [1]
- Sometime later, OS decides to write to disk [2]
- Periodic flush or fsync call

Why delay writes?

- Implications for performance
- Implications for reliability



The Consistent Update Problem

Goal:

- **Atomically update file system from one consistent state to another**
- What do we mean by consistent state?

Challenge:

- **An update may require modifying several sectors**, despite that the disk only provides atomic write of one sector at a time

Example: File Creation of `/a.txt`

Initial State



Example: File Creation of /a.txt

Read to in-memory cache

Example: File Creation of /a.txt

Modify metadata and blocks



Crash?

Disk: atomically write one sector

- Atomic: if crash, a sector is either completely written, or none of this sector is written

An FS operation may modify multiple sectors

Crash $\rightarrow$ FS partially updated

Possible Crash Scenarios

File creation dirties three blocks

- inode bitmap (B)
- inode for new file (I)
- parent directory data block (D)

Old and new contents of the blocks:

Old	New
B = 01000	B' = 01010
I = free	I' = allocated, initialized
D = {}	D' = {<'a.txt', 4>}

Also: a block could consist of multiple sectors! (For simplicity, we'll assume one sector per block for now.)

Possible Crash Scenarios

Crash scenarios: any subset can be written

- B I D
- B' I D
- B I' D
- B I D'
- B' I' D
- B' I D'
- B I' D'
- B' I' D'

The General Problem

Writes: Have to update disk with N writes

- Disk does only a single write atomically

Crashes: System may crash at arbitrary point

- Bad case: In the middle of an update sequence

Desire: To update on-disk structures **atomically**

- Either all should happen or none

Example: Bitmap First

Write Ordering: Bitmap (B), Inode (I), Data (D)

- But CRASH after B has reached disk, before I or D (scenario B' I D)

Result?



Example: Inode First

Write Ordering: Inode (I), Bitmap (B), Data (D)

- But CRASH after I has reached disk, before B or D (scenario B I' D)

Result?



Example: Inode First

Write Ordering: Inode (I), Bitmap (B), Data (D)

- But CRASH after I AND B have reached disk, before D (scenario B' I' D)

Result?



Example: Inode First

Write Ordering: Inode (I), Bitmap (B), Data (D)

- But CRASH after I AND B have reached disk, before D (scenario B' I' D)

Result?

- What if data block is a new block for the new file (i.e., create file with data)?



Example: Data First

Write Ordering: Data (D) , Bitmap (B), Inode (I)

- CRASH after D has reached disk, before I or B (scenario B I D')

Result?



Example: Data First

Write Ordering: Data (D) , Bitmap (B), Inode (I)

- CRASH after D has reached disk, before I or B (scenario B I D')

Result?

- What if data block is a new block for the new file (i.e., create file with data)?



Traditional Solution: `fsck`

`fsck`: "file system checker"

When system boots:

- Make multiple passes over file system, looking for inconsistencies
  - e.g., inode pointers and bitmaps, directory entries and inode reference counts
- Try to fix automatically

`fsck` Example 1



`fsck` Example 2



fsck Example 3




```
ls -l /
total 150
drwxr-xr-x  401 18432 Dec 31  1969 afs/
drwxr-xr-x.   2 4096  Nov  3 09:42 bin/
drwxr-xr-x.   5 4096  Aug  1 14:21 boot/
dr-xr-xr-x.  13 4096  Nov  3 09:41 lib/
dr-xr-xr-x.  10 12288 Nov  3 09:41 lib64/
drwx------.   2 16384 Aug  1 10:57 lost+found/
...
```



`fsck` Example 4



fsck Example 4.a



fsck Example 4.b



Traditional Solution: `fsck`

`fsck`: "file system checker"

When system boots:

- Make multiple passes over file system, looking for inconsistencies
- Try to fix automatically
  - Example: B' I D, B I' D
- Or punt to admin
  - Check `lost+found`, manually put the missing-link files to the correct place

Traditional Solution: `fsck`

Problem:

- Cannot fix all crash scenarios
  - Can B' I D' be fixed?
- Performance
  - Sometimes takes hours to run
    - Checking a 600GB disk takes ~70 minutes
  - Does `fsck` have to run upon every reboot?
- Not well-defined consistency

Another Solution: Journaling

Idea: Write "intent" down to disk before updating file system

- Called "Write Ahead Logging" or "journaling"
- Originated from database community

When crash occurs, look through log to see what was going on

- Use contents of log to fix file system structures
  - Crash before "intent" is written $\rightarrow$ no-op
  - Crash after "intent" is written $\rightarrow$ redo op
- The process is called "recovery"

Case Study: Linux Ext3

Write real block contents of the update to log

- Four totally ordered steps:
  1. Commit dirty blocks to journal as one transaction (`TxBegin`, I, B, D blocks)
  2. Write commit record (`TxEnd`)
  3. Copy dirty blocks to real file system (*checkpointing*)
  4. Reclaim the journal space for the transaction

Step 1: Write Blocks to Journal



Step 2: Write Commit Record



Step 3: Copy Dirty Blocks to Real FS



Step 4: Reclaim Journal Space



What If There Is A Crash?

Recovery: Go through log and "redo" operations that have been successfully committed to log

What if . . .

- `TxBegin` but not `TxEnd` in log?
- `TxBegin` through `TxEnd` are in log, but D has not reached the journal?



  - How could this happen?
  - Why don't we merge step 2 and step 1?

- Tx in log, I, B, D have been checkpointed, but Tx is not freed from log?

Summary of Journaling Write Orders

Journal writes $<$ FS writes

- Otherwise, crash $\rightarrow$ FS broken, but no record in journal to patch it up

FS writes $<$ Journal clear

- Otherwise, crash $\rightarrow$ FS broken, but record in journal is already cleared

Journal writes $<$ commit record write $<$ FS writes

- Otherwise, crash $\rightarrow$ record appears committed, but contains garbage

Ext3 Journaling Modes

Journaling has cost

- one write = two disk writes, two seeks

Several journaling modes balance consistency and performance

Data journaling: journal all writes, including file data

- Problem: expensive to journal data

Metadata journaling: journal only metadata

- Used by most FS (IBM JFS, SGI XFS, NTFS)
- Problem: file may contain garbage data

Ordered mode: write file data to real FS first, then journal metadata

- Default mode for ext3
- Problem: old file may contain new data

Summary

The consistent update problem

- Example of file creation and different crash scenarios

Two approaches to crash consistency

- `fsck`: slow, not well-defined consistency
- Journaling: well-defined consistency, different modes

Other approach

- Soft updates (advanced OS topics)

Next Time

virtualization, hypervisors